

Docket No.: 1572.1228

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Chee-hwan YANG

Serial No. 10/751,471

Group Art Unit: 2163

Confirmation No. 2342

Filed: January 6, 2004

Examiner: Angela M. LIE

For: PORTABLE COMPUTER

COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Statements of Reasons for Allowance were forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed December 1, 2005.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

The Examiner characterizes certain features of identified claims. However, it is submitted that not all of the features listed by the Examiner are recited in all of the identified claims.

By way of example, the Examiner's Reasons in the Notice of Allowability refer to a [portable] computer comprising a planar inverted F antenna installed in the monitor, wherein the antenna having a ground plane, a first radiator connected to the ground plane, extending, unsupported in a first direction, and having a primary face approximately parallel to the ground plane; a second radiator connected at a first end thereof to the first radiator, extending,

Serial No. 10/751,471

unsupported, in the first direction and having a primary face approximately perpendicular to the primary face of the first radiator; and an antenna cable connected to a second end of the second radiator. However, none of the recited independent claims recite the "wherein the antenna having a ground plane" language described by the Examiner. Furthermore, claims 1 and 25 do not recite "a primary face." Claim 1 does not recite "at a first end." Claims 8 and 15 do not recite "having a primary face approximately perpendicular." Claims 1 and 25 do not recite "an antenna cable connected to a second end of the second radiator."

It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 12/20/06

Lisa A. Kilday

Registration No. 56,210

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 434-1500